Dear Art Department Chair,

As you know from the Department Chairs Meeting on November 12, the Assessment Committee is asking all departments to revise their Student Assessment Plans so that we have greater consistency across departments. We need to do this for our report to the Higher Learning Commission in June 2020, so we are asking you to complete this process by March 1. In many cases, this will just involve reformatting what you already have. We have provided you with multiple templates and examples that can be used to complete this process here.

The Assessment Committee has reviewed your current Student Assessment Plan. We found that in most areas, your Plan approaches or meets our standards. However, there are a few areas that need attention. First, many of your student learning goals are clear and measurable. However, in some cases it is difficult to imagine how you would know whether students had achieved the goal. You might consider revising your goals to be sure that all of them are measurable. Your Curriculum Mapping is good and does not need to be revised at this time. We found that your direct and indirect assessment measures meet our standards. However, as you can see from the template, we would like more detail about which specific elements of your assessment tools relate to each learning goal. The rubrics that you provided do not explicitly reference the departmental learning goals. You might find it useful to look at the Chemistry Capstone Rubric in our list of examples to see how you could make clearer connections between the elements on the rubric and the specific departmental learning goals to which they relate. Finally, you do not currently have a Cycle of assessment. Please use the template provided in the materials to indicate which goals will be assessed in what years, and using what methods.

SAP: Learning Goals	Department has clear learning goals with outcomes consistently stated in measurable and observable terms. Meets/Exceeds Standards	Learning goals are present, but only some are stated in measurable and observable terms. Approaches Standards	Learning goals are stated but none are written in measurable and observable terms or plan offers no student learning goals.
SAP: Curriculum Mapping	It is easy to determine which courses complete each skill and at what level. Meets/Exceeds Standards	It takes some effort to determine which courses complete each skill and/or at what level. Approaches Standards	It is unclear which courses complete each skill, or no curriculum map was provided. Needs Attention
	Assessment plan includes at least one indirect measure clearly connected to each learning goal. It is clear how these measures will be used to determine student learning.	Assessment plan includes indirect measures that are connected to some, but not all learning goals. It is not always clear how these measures will be used to determine student learning.	Assessment plan includes no indirect measures of student learning.
SAP: Indirect, Direct, Cycle	✓ Meets/Exceeds Standards	Approaches Standards	☐ Needs Attention
	Assessment plan includes at least one direct measure clearly connected to each learning goal. It is clear how these measures will be used to determine student learning.	Assessment plan includes direct measures that are connected to some, but not all learning goals. It is not always clear how these measures will be used to determine student learning.	Assessment plan includes no direct measures of student learning.
	☐ Meets/Exceeds Standards	Approaches Standards	☐ Needs Attention
	Assessment plan provides a clear cycle of goals to be assessed each year, and indicates when all goals will be assessed.	Assessment plan provides a cycle of goals to be assessed each year, but not all goals are represented.	Assessment plan provides no cycle of goals to be assessed each year.
	Meets/Exceeds Standards	Approaches Standards	✓ Needs Attention