Dear Physics department,

As you will recall, your 2018 Departmental Assessment Report was guided by the Assessment Committee's suggestions in our letter from last Spring. This year, the committee read and discussed your department's 2018 Assessment Meeting Report and filled out a new rubric, focusing on your Narrative of Strength and your Action Plan for Improvement.

Your 2019 Departmental Assessment Report should include three things and be e-mailed to Sasha Pfau (Assessment Committee Chair) by May 31, 2019:

- 1. A copy of the Rubric that your department developed at the 2018 Fall Faculty Conference.
- 2. An updated Student Assessment Plan.
- 3. A response to the Assessment Committee's targeted feedback.

1. Rubric

First, we would like you to share the Rubric that your department developed during Fall Faculty Conference. These Rubrics will be posted on the Academic Affairs website so that departments can share in each other's expertise. We would also like to ask you to use the draft Rubric to consider some of your majors this year and provide a brief summary of that conversation.

2. Student Assessment Plan

In examining your Student Assessment Plan, we are recommending that all departments take a look at what is online here:

https://www.hendrix.edu/academicaffairs/annualassessments/

You will need to be logged in to view your SAP. We note that the plan online is from 2007, and may not reflect your current practices.

A strong SAP includes:

- Departmental Learning Goals
- Curriculum Mapping
- Plans for Gathering Information:
 - At least one form of indirect assessment (student survey, exit interview, etc.)
 - At least one form of direct assessment (rubric for a capstone, common course, or learning goal, standardized exam, etc.)
 - A planned cycle for assessment of the goals (i.e. you don't have to assess all goals every year)

3. Response to Targeted Feedback

For your response to our targeted feedback, we have attached a rubric that the Assessment Committee developed in order to give you a high-level view of your department's responses to the prompts from 2018. This is intended to assist you in

developing future action. You should be aware that this cannot be an assessment of what you are doing but only an assessment of what you said on the form you submitted.

As you can see from the rubric, we thought your Narrative of Strength approached or met standards and your Action Plan for Improvement met or exceeded standards. The committee would like you to provide us with more information about your results and actions based on the Workshop Physics assessment.

We welcome you to invite members of the Assessment Committee to come to one of your department meetings this Spring if you think that would be helpful. We have been impressed with your dedication to improvement of student learning, and hope that you will carry on with the momentum that our whole campus developed in our preparation for the Higher Learning Commission's visit.

Thanks in advance, Sasha and Megan

Rubric for Department Assessment Meeting Report 2018		
Narrative of	Changes were made with no	
Strength Changes made were in Changes were r	made using weak, reference to evidence of student	
(Evidence response to evidence of anecdotal, or in	direct evidence of development OR no changes were	
Based Reason) student development student develo	pment made	
Meets/Exceeds Standards ✓ Approaches	Standards Needs Attention	
Narrative of Changes were explained		
Strength clearly and concisely Changes were e	explained Changes were not explained	
(Concise) ✓ Meets/Exceeds Standards ☐ Approaches	Standards Needs Attention	
Narrative of Department has	s a plan to monitor	
Strength (Plan Department has a clearly this going forward)	ard, but the plan	
for Continual outlined, defined plan to needs to be ree	evaluated (see Department does not have a	
Evaluation) monitor this going forward comments)	defined plan for follow-up	
✓ Meets/Exceeds Standards	Standards Needs Attention	
Action plan addresses Action plan is n	ot related to the Action plan is unclear and cannot	
committees committee's re-	commendation, but be measured	
recommendations still seems rele	vant	
✓ Meets/Exceeds Standards	Standards Needs Attention	
Action Plan for Evaluation Plan is evidence-		
hased and evidence	It is unclear how the evaluation plan will be executed and how it	
collected clearly speaks to		
I the evaluation of the action I'	levidence collected can be used in	
plan and can be used in will be used in decision making	decision making	
decision making		
✓ Meets/Exceeds Standards ☐ Approaches	Standards Needs Attention	
Department has clear	Donortment has no discornable	
llearning goals that reflect	Learning goals are a work in Department has no discernable	
Departmental desired student outcomes progress	learning goals	
Goals ✓ Meets/Exceeds Standards ☐ Approaches	Standards Needs Attention	
Learning Goals are mapped		
to curriculum 🗹 Yes	□ No	