Dear Philosophy department,

As you will recall, your 2018 Departmental Assessment Report was guided by the Assessment Committee's suggestions in our letter from last Spring. This year, the committee read and discussed your department's 2018 Assessment Meeting Report and filled out a new rubric, focusing on your Narrative of Strength and your Action Plan for Improvement.

Your 2019 Departmental Assessment Report should include three things and be e-mailed to Sasha Pfau (Assessment Committee Chair) by May 31, 2019:

- 1. A copy of the Rubric that your department developed at the 2018 Fall Faculty Conference.
- 2. An updated Student Assessment Plan.
- 3. A response to the Assessment Committee's targeted feedback.

1. Rubric

First, we would like you to share the Rubric that your department developed during Fall Faculty Conference. These Rubrics will be posted on the Academic Affairs website so that departments can share in each other's expertise. We would also like to ask you to use the draft Rubric to consider some of your majors this year and provide a brief summary of that conversation.

2. Student Assessment Plan

In examining your Student Assessment Plan, we are recommending that all departments take a look at what is online here:

https://www.hendrix.edu/academicaffairs/annualassessments/

You will need to be logged in to view your SAP. We note that you have learning goals and an explanation of where those goals are met in your curriculum, but not a lot of detail about your plans for gathering information.

A strong SAP includes:

- Departmental Learning Goals
- Curriculum Mapping
- Plans for Gathering Information:
 - At least one form of indirect assessment (student survey, exit interview, etc.)
 - At least one form of direct assessment (rubric for a capstone, common course, or learning goal, standardized exam, etc.)
 - A planned cycle for assessment of the goals (i.e. you don't have to assess all goals every year)

3. Response to Targeted Feedback

For your response to our targeted feedback, we have attached a rubric that the Assessment Committee developed in order to give you a high-level view of your department's responses to the prompts from 2018. This is intended to assist you in developing future action. You should be aware that this cannot be an assessment of what you are doing but only an assessment of what you said on the form you submitted.

As you can see from the rubric, we thought your Narrative of Strength approaches or meets standards and your Action Plan for Improvement needs attention. As we discussed in our meeting, the committee would like you to provide us with more information about how you will use direct and indirect measures to assess your students' achievement of the learning goals. Your use of the new rubric in particular is a great starting place for framing and recording your usual, more informal conversations about student learning. In combination with some questions on your student survey, this would provide a strong Assessment Plan that uses both indirect and direct assessment. You might think about focusing your attention on one of the learning goals over the next few years to see how well your students are reaching that goal, both through survey responses and through your direct assessment using the rubric.

We welcome you to invite members of the Assessment Committee to come to one of your department meetings this Spring if you think that would be helpful. We have been impressed with your dedication to improvement of student learning, and hope that you will carry on with the momentum that our whole campus developed in our preparation for the Higher Learning Commission's visit.

Thanks in advance, Sasha and Megan

Rubric for Department Assessment Meeting Report 2018			
Narrative of Strength (Evidence Based Reason) Narrative of Strength	Changes made were in response to evidence of student development Meets/Exceeds Standards Changes were explained clearly and concisely	Changes were made using weak, anecdotal, or indirect evidence of student development	Changes were made with no reference to evidence of student development OR no changes were made Needs Attention Changes were not explained
(Concise)	Meets/Exceeds Standards	Approaches Standards	Needs Attention
Narrative of Strength (Plan for Continual Evaluation)	Department has a clearly outlined, defined plan to monitor this going forward Meets/Exceeds Standards	Department has a plan to monitor this going forward, but the plan needs to be reevaluated (see comments)	Department does not have a defined plan for follow-up
Action Plan for Improvement	Action plan addresses committees recommendations	Action plan is not related to the committee's recommendation, but still seems relevant	Action plan is unclear and cannot be measured
	Meets/Exceeds Standards Evaluation Plan is evidence- based and evidence collected clearly speaks to the evaluation of the action plan and can be used in decision making	Approaches Standards It is unclear how the evaluation plan will be executed and how it will be used in decision making	✓ Needs Attention Evaluation plan is not evidence based or it is unclear how evidence collected can be used in decision making
Departmental Goals	Meets/Exceeds Standards Department has clear learning goals that reflect desired student outcomes	Approaches Standards	✓ Needs Attention Department has no discernable learning goals
	Meets/Exceeds Standards Learning Goals are mapped to curriculum	Approaches Standards	Needs Attention