Dear Biology department,

As you will recall, your 2018 Departmental Assessment Report was guided by the Assessment Committee's suggestions in our letter from last Spring. This year, the committee read and discussed your department's 2018 Assessment Meeting Report and filled out a new rubric, focusing on your Narrative of Strength and your Action Plan for Improvement.

Your 2019 Departmental Assessment Report should include three things and be e-mailed to Sasha Pfau (Assessment Committee Chair) by May 31, 2019:

- 1. A copy of the Rubric that your department developed at the 2018 Fall Faculty Conference.
- 2. An updated Student Assessment Plan.
- 3. A response to the Assessment Committee's targeted feedback.

1. Rubric

First, we would like you to share the Rubric that your department developed during Fall Faculty Conference. These Rubrics will be posted on the Academic Affairs website so that departments can share in each other's expertise. We would also like to ask you to use the draft Rubric to consider some of your majors this year and provide a brief summary of that conversation.

2. Student Assessment Plan

In examining your Student Assessment Plan, we are recommending that all departments take a look at what is online here:

https://www.hendrix.edu/academicaffairs/annualassessments/

You will need to be logged in to view your SAP. We note that your plan is from 1998, so probably needs to be updated.

A strong SAP includes:

- Departmental Learning Goals
- Curriculum Mapping
- Plans for Gathering Information:
 - At least one form of indirect assessment (student survey, exit interview, etc.)
 - At least one form of direct assessment (rubric for a capstone, common course, or learning goal, standardized exam, etc.)
 - A planned cycle for assessment of the goals (i.e. you don't have to assess all goals every year)

3. Response to Targeted Feedback

For your response to our targeted feedback, we have attached a rubric that the Assessment Committee developed in order to give you a high-level view of your department's responses to the prompts from 2018. This is intended to assist you in

developing future action. You should be aware that this cannot be an assessment of what you are doing but only an assessment of what you said on the form you submitted.

As you can see from the rubric, we thought your Narrative of Strength met or exceeded standards and your Action Plan for Improvement approached or met standards. The committee would like you to provide us with more information about the development of your new Capstone. How will you evaluate the success of that change?

We welcome you to invite members of the Assessment Committee to come to one of your department meetings this Spring if you think that would be helpful. We have been impressed with your dedication to improvement of student learning, and hope that you will carry on with the momentum that our whole campus developed in our preparation for the Higher Learning Commission's visit.

Thanks in advance, Sasha and Megan

Rubric for Department Assessment Meeting Report 2018			
Narrative of Strength (Evidence Based Reason)			Changes were made with no
	Changes made were in	Changes were made using weak,	reference to evidence of student
	response to evidence of	anecdotal, or indirect evidence of	development OR no changes were
	student development	student development	made
	Meets/Exceeds Standards	Approaches Standards	Needs Attention
Narrative of Strength (Concise)	Changes were explained		
	clearly and concisely	Changes were explained	Changes were not explained
	Meets/Exceeds Standards	Approaches Standards	Needs Attention
Narrative of Strength (Plan for Continual Evaluation)		Department has a plan to monitor	
	Department has a clearly	this going forward, but the plan	
	outlined, defined plan to	needs to be reevaluated (see	Department does not have a
	monitor this going forward	comments)	defined plan for follow-up
	Meets/Exceeds Standards	Approaches Standards	Needs Attention
Action Plan for Improvement	Action plan addresses	Action plan is not related to the	Action plan is unclear and cannot
	committees	committee's recommendation, but	be measured
	recommendations	still seems relevant	
	Meets/Exceeds Standards	Approaches Standards	Needs Attention
	Evaluation Plan is evidence-		
	based and evidence	It is unclear how the evaluation plan will be executed and how it	Evaluation plan is not evidence
	collected clearly speaks to		based or it is unclear how
	the evaluation of the action	will be used in decision making	evidence collected can be used in
	plan and can be used in		decision making
	decision making		
	Meets/Exceeds Standards	Approaches Standards	Needs Attention
Goals	Department has clear	Learning goals are a work in	Department has no discernable
	learning goals that reflect	progress	learning goals
	desired student outcomes		
	Meets/Exceeds Standards	Approaches Standards	Needs Attention
	Learning Goals are mapped to curriculum	Ves Yes	□ No