# **NSSE** national survey of student engagement

# NSSE 2022 Snapshot

## **Hendrix College**

## **A Summary of Student Engagement Results**

Student engagement represents two critical features of collegiate quality. The first is the amount of time and effort students put into their studies and other educationally purposeful activities. The second is how institutional resources, courses, and other learning opportunities facilitate student participation in activities that matter to student learning. NSSE surveys undergraduate students in their first and final years to assess their levels of engagement and related information about their experience at your institution.

Comparison Group The comparison group

featured in this report is

#### **Carnegie Class**

See your Selected Comparison Groups report for details.

This *Snapshot* is a concise collection of key findings from your institution's NSSE 2022 administration. We hope this information stimulates discussions about the undergraduate experience. Additional details about these and other results appear in the reports referenced throughout.

| <b>Engagement Indicators</b><br>Sets of items are grouped into ten  |                             |                                   | <b>Your students</b> compared with<br>Carnegie Class |        |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------|
| Engagement Indicators, organized  | Theme                       | Engagement Indicator              | First-year   | Senior |
| under four broad themes. At right<br>are summary results for your<br>institution. For details, see your<br><i>Engagement Indicators</i> report. |                             | Higher-Order Learning             |  |        |
|   | Academic<br>Challenge       | Reflective & Integrative Learning |  |        |
|   |                             | Learning Strategies               |  |        |
| Key:  |                             | Quantitative Reasoning            |  |        |
| Your students' average was significantly<br>higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least<br>.3 in magnitude.                                   | Learning<br>with Peers      | Collaborative Learning            |  |        |
| Your students' average was significantly<br>higher $(p < .05)$ with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.                                   |                             | Discussions with Diverse Others   | Δ  |        |
| No significant difference.  | Experiences<br>with Faculty | Student-Faculty Interaction       |  | Δ      |
| Your students' average was significantly<br>$\bigtriangledown$ lower ( $p < .05$ ) with an effect size less than<br>.3 in magnitude.            |                             | Effective Teaching Practices      | Δ  |        |
| <b>Your students' average</b> was significantly<br>lower ( $p < .05$ ) with an effect size at least<br>.3 in magnitude.                         | Campus<br>Environment       | Quality of Interactions           | Δ  |        |
|   |                             | Supportive Environment            | Δ  |        |

### **High-Impact Practices**

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, certain undergraduate opportunities are designated "highimpact." For more details and statistical comparisons, see your *High-Impact Practices* report.

### First-year

Service-Learning, Learning Community, and Research w/Faculty

#### Senior

Service-Learning, Learning Community, Research w/Faculty, Internship, Study Abroad, and Culminating Senior Experience

